Arlington High School Building Committee Meeting Tuesday, June 19, 2018 AHS-School Committee Room-Sixth Floor 6:00 pm

Present:	Jeff Thielman, School Committee Representative, Chair Kathleen Bodie, Superintendent, Co-vice chair Adam Chapdelaine, Town Manager, Co-vice chair Kirsi Allison-Ampe, School Committee Representative Francis Callahan, Community Member Representative John Cole, Chair, Permanent Town Building Committee John Danizio, APS Chief Financial Officer Tobey Jackson, Community Member Representative Matthew Janger, AHS Principal Ryan Katofsky, Community Member Representative Kate Loosian, Community Member Representative William McCarthy, AHS Assistant Principal Steve Nesterak, Facilities Director Judson Pierce, Community Member Representative Sandy Pooler, Deputy Town Manager Brian Rehrig, Capital Planning Committee Member Daniel Ruiz, Community Member Representative Amy Speare, Community Member Representative Shannon Knuth, Teacher Representative Kent Werst, Teacher Representative
Also present:	Chuck Adam, Dale Caldwell, Victoria Clifford, Skanska Inc.

Lori Cowles, Arthur Duffy, Stephanie MacNeil HMFH Architects, Inc. Braedon Quinlan, Avery Spellmeyer, Student Representatives

Call to order: 6:00 pm

Public Participation

John Gillis from Arlington-Belmont Crew club spoke regarding storage of the crew equipment in the future new high school building.

APS parent spoke against busing student Minuteman school as alternative during construction.

<u>Review of Design Alternatives</u>

HMFH reviewed floor plans, site plans, physical models, massing diagrams, & sectional perspectives for each alternative

- Two modification alternatives to Alternative 2
- Conceptual costs

All Alternatives have:

- Improved site circulation (some challenges w Alt. 4)
- Increased parking
- Variety outdoor learning venues (except Alt.4)
- All program spaces to meet the Educational Program
- Construction timelines range from 3 to 5-1/2 years; 1 to 4 phases

- Conceptual costs range from \$288M to \$333M Alt 1 -
- Renovation/addition
- Retain Fusco & Collomb
- Retain front green
- Single circulation "bar"/ gallery
- Six stories
- Longest construction duration
- Alt 2 and modifications

Renovation/addition

- Retain Fusco & Collomb
- Retain portion of front green
- Frame Collomb with new
- "H" circulation
- Five stories
- Mid-range construction duration
- Increased program space at rear
- Alt 2a pivot a little the front wings
- Alt 2b changes the Auditorium to the front
- Alt 3 all new construction
- Retain portion of front green
- "bow tie" layout/ central activity node
- Five stories
- Mid-range construction duration
- Increased program space at rear
- Alt 4 All new out front
- No front green
- Six stories
- Shortest construction duration
- Maximum program space at rear

Updated Alternative Estimates

Dale Caldwell of Skanska reviewed the preliminary cost comparison summary for each alternative.

- Alternative 1 \$333,643,223 renovation/addition- construction estimated 5 year 5 month phased occupancy.
- ♦ Alternative 2 \$317,759,937 renovation/addition wings on courtyard, estimated 4 year 10 month phased occupancy.
- ◆ Alternative 3 \$309,298,899 all new, 4 year 10 month phased occupancy.
- Alternative 4 \$288,687,857 all new built on front courtyard, 4 year 10 month single phase occupancy.

Guiding Principles Review

Dr. Bodie reviewed the guiding principles matrix that outlined the criteria for preferred option with regard to the Educational Priorities in the Education Plan.

Subcommittee Reports

• Communications/Forum Feedback

Kirsi Allison-Ampe and Amy Speare reported out on the June 4^{th} community forum and the survey results collected at the meeting and online.

The full report can be found per the link below.

 $\frac{http://www.arlington.k12.ma.us/administration/ahsfacilities/pdfs/ahsprojectdesignfeedbackdata0}{6-19-18.pdf}$

- ♦ Finance none
- ♦ Sustainability

Ryan Katofsky, of the Sustainability Subcommittee, reported that the committee has met twice and discussed what goals of sustainability would be best for the high school project. Options were LEED or CHPS, either, if attained, would result in additional reimbursement points from MSBA. Ultimately LEED was decided as receiving the most recognition. The Evaluation matrix was reviewed.

Approval of Minutes

On a motion by Kathleen Bodie seconded by Adam Chapdelaine it was \ Voted to approve the meeting minutes of May 29, 2018 (Abstention by D. Ruiz, S. Nesterak, B. McCarthy)

New Business

Al Tosti, chair of the finance committee addressed the committee voicing his concern with the cost estimates for the 4 alternatives, noting that the difference between the most expensive and the lease expensive is 45,000,000. He requested that the taxpayer be kept in mind when making a final determination.

Next meeting Tuesday, June 26, 2018 and Thursday June 28th if need be.

On a motion by Adam Chapdelaine seconded by Kate Loosian it was Voted to adjourn @ 9:15 pm.

Submitted by:

Karen Tassone Recording Secretary