

Engineers Environmental Scientists Software Developers Landscape Architects Planners Surveyors

www.bscgroup.com

MARCH 12, 2024

Arlington Conservation Commission 730 Mass Ave Annex Arlington, MA 02476

## RE: Notice of Intent SWCA Notice of Intent Restoration Plan Peer Review Thorndike Place Residential Community Dorothy Road, Arlington, MA

Dear Members of the Arlington Conservation Commission:

On behalf of Arlington Land Realty, LLC (the Applicant), BSC Group, Inc. respectfully presents the attached Planting Plan, Sheet L-100, last revised 03/07/2024, to complete our response to SWCA's peer review report dated March 6, 2024. BSC submitted a proposed Invasive Species Management Plan (ISMP) to the Commission and to SWCA on March 7, 2024. During the public hearing on March 7, we discussed two outstanding SWCA comments regarding plant selections shown on the proposed planting plan. Herein we address these comments and for the record, each of SWCA's final comments.

<u>SWCA Response 1:</u> SWCA agrees with this response and approach. No further response required.

<u>SWCA Response 2</u>: SWCA recommends that the ISMP be submitted to the Commission and reviewed by an expert in the control of invasive species prior to the issuance of an OOC. Effective control of invasive plants is critical to the success of any ISMP and may require complex management methodologies given the extent and diversity of invasive species on the site. Review of the ISMP prior to OOC issuance ensures the ISMP will be effective and that the Commission has the ability to guarantee that the plan is adequate prior to permit issuance.

## BSC submitted a proposed ISMP for peer review on March 7, 2024.

SWCA Response 3: No further response required.

<u>SWCA Response 4:</u> SWCA concur with these revisions. No further response required.

<u>SWCA Response 5:</u> The proposed planting plan still includes multiple species that are not representative of the of the diversity and community structure of the adjacent habitats (e.g., Atlantic white cypress [Chamaecyparis thyoides] and others). SWCA recommends the planting plan be revised to includes species that better represent the adjacent communities within the restoration area.

Please refer to the attached Sheet L-100. No tree is proposed *within the restoration area or compensatory flood storage area* that is not specifically listed in SWCA Comment 5. BSC is providing a color-markup of the restoration planting sheet to clarify proposed species placements.

It should be noted that the planting plan is for the entire Project Site, including areas outside

BSC GROUP

of the Commission's jurisdiction.

The proposed Woodland and Floodplain Restoration seed mixes are as follows:

Botanical Name	Common Name
Asclepias syriaca	Common Milkweed
Asclepias incarnata	Swamp Butterfly Weed
Symphyotrichum novae-angliae	New England Aster
Chamaecrista fasciculata	Partridge Pea
Elymus canadensis	Canada Wild Rye
Elymus virginicus	Virginia Wild Rye
Festuca rubra	Red Fescue
Rudbeckia laciniata	Green-headed Coneflower
Schizachyrium scoparium	Little Bluestem
Solidago juncea	Early Goldenrod
Sorghastrum nutans	Indiangrass
Symphyotrichum novi-belgii	New York Aster
Baptisia tinctoria	Horseflyweed
Desmodium canadense	Showy Tick Trefoil
Euthamia graminifolia	Flat-top Goldentop
Pycnanthemum virginianum	Virginia Mountain Mint

SWCA Response 6: SWCA agrees with these revisions. No further response required.

<u>SWCA Response 7:</u> SWCA agrees with this approach. No further response required.

SWCA Response 8: No further response required.

<u>SWCA Response 9:</u> The revised planting plan continues to propose a number of cultivars within the 100-foot Buffer Zone. Other cultivars are still proposed in other areas of the site.

BSC has revised the proposed restoration planting plan to remove cultivars and has revised the proposed seed mixes for the restoration and compensatory flood storage areas to contain only native plants. The lawn areas seed mix has also been revised to contain only native species.

It should be noted that the planting plan is for the entire Project Site, including areas outside of the Commission's jurisdiction. There is one plant proposed that is a non-native landscaping plant, but it is proposed to be located along the walking path between the buildings, outside of the Commission's jurisdiction.

<u>SWCA Response 10:</u> This note does not appear to indicate that removal of any snags must be approved by the Commission.

SWCA recommends revising this note as to indicate that Commission approval is required for snag removal.

The Note on Sheets L-100 has been updated to state, "2. Remove all invasive species according



to ISMP; cut and remove (do not stump) all dead trees that pose a safety hazard to people or property as determined by Landscape Architect (LA) & Wildlife Ecologist (WE) with administrative approval of Conservation Commission; restore area with native tree, shrub, and grass plantings as directed by LA. Utilize cut plant materials to construct snags and wildlife habitats as directed by LA & WE.

We look forward to an opportunity to discuss these revisions with the Commission and its Peer Review consultant at the upcoming hearing. Mr. Groves will again be available to discuss the ISMP and is also available to answer questions that may come up during the hearing.

If you have any questions regarding the enclosed information, please contact me at (617) 896-4594 or mburne@bscgroup.com. Thank you for your consideration in this matter.

Thank you, BSC Group, Inc.

Matt Burne, PWS Senior Ecologist

cc: Stephanie Keifer

Enclosed: Sheet L-100 Sheet L-100, color mark up Sheet L-101 Sheet L-101, color mark up



